arXiv:1510.04174v1 [hep-ph] 14 Oct 2015

Proof of Factorization of Heavy Quarkonium Production in Non-Equilibrium QCD at RHIC and LHC

Gouranga C Nayak[1,*]

[1] *22 West Fourth Street #5, Lewistown, Pennsylvania 17044, USA*

(Dated: October 15, 2015)

Abstract

Recently we have proved factorization of j/ψ production in non-equilibrium QCD at RHIC and LHC at all order in coupling constant from color singlet $c\bar{c}$ pair. In this paper we consider (NRQCD) color octet mechanism of heavy quarkonium production in non-equilibrium QCD at RHIC and LHC and prove factorization at all order in coupling constant. This proof is necessary to study heavy quarkonium production from quark gluon plasma at RHIC and LHC in (NRQCD) color octet mechanism.

PACS numbers: 12.38.Lg; 12.38.Aw; 14.40.Pq; 12.39.St

*Electronic address: nayak@max2.physics.sunysb.edu

I. INTRODUCTION

Just after the big bang our universe was filled with a state of matter known as quark-gluon plasma. The temperature of quark-gluon plasma is $\gtrsim 200$ MeV which is much larger than the temperature of the sun. Besides black holes, the quark-gluon plasma is denser than all other forms of the matter we know so far. Hence recreating this early universe scenario in the laboratory is challenging. At present RHIC (Au-Au collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 200$ GeV) and LHC (Pb-Pb collisions $\sqrt{s} = 2.76$ TeV) provide the best facilities to study the production of quark-gluon plasma in the laboratory [1, 2]. In the second run the LHC will collide two lead nuclei at $\sqrt{s} = 5.5$ TeV which will create even more energy density, *i. e.*, it will produce quark-gluon plasma with higher temperature.

The main difficulty we face at RHIC and LHC is to detect the quark-gluon plasma and to study its properties. This is because we have not seen quarks and gluons directly. Hence the detection of quark-gluon plasma at RHIC and LHC has to be done by using indirect signatures. Heavy quarkonium is an useful probe to study quark-gluon plasma at RHIC and LHC. J/ψ suppression is suggested to be one of the main signature of the quark-gluon plasma detection at RHIC and LHC [3]. This is based on the argument of Debye screening in quark-gluon plasma. At high temperature the Debye screening length becomes smaller than the j/ψ radius leading to complete suppression of j/ψ in quark-gluon plasma. However, the calculation done in [3] uses lattice QCD results at finite temperature in equilibrium which may not be applicable at RHIC and LHC where the system may be in non-equilibrium. This is because of the following reason.

Since the two nuclei at RHIC and LHC travel almost at speed of light the longitudinal momenta of the partons inside the nuclei just before the nuclear collision are much larger than their transverse momenta. This leads to momentum anisotropy in the early stage of the nuclear collisions at RHIC and LHC. Sufficient number of secondary partonic collisions over a large period of time is supposed to bring the quark-gluon plasma at RHIC and LHC to equilibrium. However, the hadronization takes place in very small time because the typical hadronization time in QCD is very small ($\sim 10^{-24}$ seconds). Because of this reason the quark-gluon plasma at RHIC and LHC may be in non-equilibrium. Hence it is necessary to understand the heavy quarkonium production mechanism in non-equilibrium QCD at RHIC and LHC.

The production of heavy quark-antiquark pair occurs in the hard scattering in the initial nuclear collisions at RHIC and LHC. There can also be secondary heavy quark-antiquark pair production from parton fusion processes from the QCD medium at RHIC and LHC. The production of heavy quark-antiquark pair can be calculated by using pQCD because the relevant momentum transfer scale $2M$ is large where M is the mass of the heavy quark.

The formation of heavy quarkonium from heavy quark-antiquark pair involves non-perturbative QCD which is not solved yet. Hence we depend on experimental data to extract the non-perturbative matrix element of heavy quarkonium production. This is in contrast to bound state formation in QED such as the hydrogen atom or the positronium where the potential energy is known to be of the Coulomb form. Although phenomenological potentials, like Coulomb potential or Coulomb plus linear potential etc. [4–6], are used in QCD to describe heavy quarkonium formation the exact form of the potential energy between quark and antiquark is not known. This is because the exact form of the classical Yang-Mills potential $A^{\mu a}(x)$ is not known yet. Hence the theoretical understanding of bound state hadron formation in QCD remains a challenge.

In order to study heavy quarkonium production mechanism from heavy quark-antiquark pair in non-equilibrium QCD at RHIC and LHC it is necessary to understand the corresponding mechanism in pp collisions at the same center of mass energy. NRQCD color octet mechanism for heavy quarkonium production [7] is widely used to explain experimental data at high energy colliders such as at Tevatron [8] and LHC [9]. In NRQCD the heavy quark-antiquark pair production in color octet state is included and the non-perturbative NRQCD matrix elements are extracted from the experiments [10].

The PHENIX collaboration experimental data of heavy quarkonium production in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 200$ GeV at RHIC can be explained by using NRQCD color octet mechanism [11, 12]. Similarly the ALICE collaboration experimental data of heavy quarkonium production in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 2.76$ TeV at LHC can be explained by using NRQCD color octet mechanism [13]. Hence in order to compare the heavy quarkonium production data in Au-Au collisions with pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 200$ GeV at RHIC and in Pb-Pb collisions with pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 2.76$ TeV at LHC it may be necessary to study heavy quarkonium production in NRQCD color octet mechanism in heavy-ion collisions at RHIC and LHC. As explained above, since the two nuclei at RHIC and LHC collide almost at speed of light the quark-gluon plasma at RHIC and LHC may be in non-equilibrium. Hence

it is necessary to study heavy quarkonium production in NRQCD color octet mechanism in non-equilibrium QCD at RHIC and LHC.

In order to study heavy quarkonium production at high energy colliders one needs to prove factorization theorem, otherwise one will predict infinite cross section of heavy quarkonium [14–23]. Note that in the original formulation of NRQCD color octet mechanism of heavy quarkonium production [7] the proof of factorization theorem was missing. We have proved NRQCD factorization of heavy quarkonium production in color octet mechanism at NNLO in coupling constant and to all powers in heavy quark relative velocity by using diagrammatic approach in [16]. In [17] we have proved NRQCD factorization of heavy quarkonium production in color octet mechanism at all order in coupling constant and to all powers in heavy quark relative velocity by using path integral formulation of QCD.

Note that j/ψ suppression as a signature of quark-gluon plasma detection was predicted by using color singlet $c\bar{c}$ pair in the quark-gluon plasma [3]. For this reason we have proved factorization of j/ψ production in non-equilibrium QCD at RHIC and LHC at all order in coupling constant from color singlet $c\bar{c}$ pair in [18]. However, as explained above, in order to compare the heavy quarkonium production data in Au-Au collisions with pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 200$ GeV at RHIC [11, 12] and in Pb-Pb collisions with pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 2.76$ TeV at LHC [13] it is necessary to study heavy quarkonium production in NRQCD color octet mechanism in heavy-ion collisions at RHIC and LHC. Hence it is necessary to prove (NRQCD) factorization of heavy quarkonium production in color octet mechanism in non-equilibrium QCD at RHIC and LHC.

In this paper we will prove factorization of heavy quarkonium production in non-equilibrium QCD at RHIC and LHC in (NRQCD) color octet mechanism at all order in coupling constant and to all powers in (NRQCD) heavy quark relative velocity.

Note that in the formulation of NRQCD an ultraviolet cutoff $\Lambda \sim M$ is introduced [7]. Hence the ultraviolet (UV) behavior of NRQCD and QCD differ but infrared (IR) behavior of QCD and NRQCD remains same [24]. Because of this reason our analysis of infrared divergences in this paper is valid for QCD as well for NRQCD.

The main result we find in non-equilibrium QCD is that while the gauge links are not required in the color singlet S-wave non-perturbative matrix elements in non-equilibrium QCD [18], the gauge links are required in the S-wave color octet non-perturbative matrix elements in non-equilibrium QCD. In the S-wave color singlet heavy quarkonium produc-

tion the infrared divergences are absent because the infrared divergences due to the soft gluons exchange between the heavy quark and the nearby light-like quark (or gluon) in non-equilibrium QCD cancel with the corresponding infrared divergences due to the soft gluons exchange between the heavy antiquark and the same nearby light-like quark (or gluon).

However, there are uncanceled infrared divergences if the heavy quark-antiquark pair is in the color octet state in non-equilibrium QCD and these uncanceled infrared divergences cancel with the corresponding infrared divergences in the gauge links in the S-wave color octet non-pertirbative matrix element in non-equilibrium QCD at all order in coupling constant and to all powers of heavy quark relative velocity. We find that the (NRQCD) S-wave color octet nonequilibrium-nonperturbative matrix element is independent of the light-like vector l^μ which defines the gauge link. This proves factorization of heavy quarkonium production in (NRQCD) color octet mechanism in non-equilibrium QCD at RHIC and LHC at all order in coupling constant and to all powers of (NRQCD) heavy quark relative velocity.

The paper is organized as follows. In section II we briefly discuss the heavy quark-antiquark pair in non-equilibrium QCD by using closed-time path integral formalism. In section III we describe infrared divergences in heavy quarkonium production. In section IV we prove factorization of heavy quarkonium production in non-equilibrium QCD at RHIC and LHC in (NRQCD) color octet mechanism at all order in coupling constant and to all powers of (NRQCD) heavy quark relative velocity. Section V contains conclusions.

II. HEAVY QUARK-ANTIQUARK PAIR IN NON-EQUILIBRIUM QCD USING CLOSED-TIME PATH INTEGRAL FORMALISM

The ground state at RHIC and LHC due to the presence of QCD medium at the initial time $t = t_{in}$ is not a vacuum state $|0>$. We denote the initial state in non-equilibrium QCD at the initial time $t = t_{in}$ by $|in>$. We use the notation Ψ for the heavy quark field and the notation ψ_l for the light quark field where $l = 1, 2, 3 = u, d, s$ stands for up, down and strange quark respectively. The mass of the light quark is denoted by m_l and the mass of the heavy quark is denoted by M.

In the path integral formulation of QCD the nonequilibrium-nonperturbative heavy quark-antiquark correlation function of the type $< in|\bar{\Psi}_r(x_1)O_1\Psi_r(x_1)\bar{\Psi}_s(x_2)O_2'\Psi_s(x_2)|in >$

is given by [25–28]

$$
\begin{aligned}
&< in|\bar{\Psi}_r(x_1)O_1\Psi_r(x_1)\bar{\Psi}_s(x_2)O_2'\Psi_s(x_2)|in> \\
&= \int \Pi_{n=1}^{3}[d\bar{\psi}_{n+}][d\bar{\psi}_{n-}][d\psi_{n+}][d\psi_{n-}][d\bar{\Psi}_+][d\bar{\Psi}_-][d\Psi_+][d\Psi_-][dQ_+][dQ_-] \\
&\bar{\Psi}_r(x_1)O_1\Psi_r(x_1)\bar{\Psi}_s(x_2)O_2'\Psi_s(x_2)\ \det(\frac{\delta\partial_\mu Q_+^{\mu c}}{\delta\omega_+^d})\ \ \det(\frac{\delta\partial_\mu Q_-^{\mu c}}{\delta\omega_-^d}) \\
&\exp[i\int d^4x[-\frac{1}{4}F^2[Q_+]+\frac{1}{4}F^2[Q_-]-\frac{1}{2\alpha}(\partial_\mu Q_+^{\mu c})^2+\frac{1}{2\alpha}(\partial_\mu Q_-^{\mu c})^2 \\
&+\sum_{l=1}^{3}[\bar{\psi}_{l+}(\not{D}[Q_+]-m_l)\psi_{l+}-\bar{\psi}_{l-}(\not{D}[Q_-]-m_l)\psi_{l-}]+\bar{\Psi}_+(\not{D}[Q_+]-M)\Psi_+-\bar{\Psi}_-(\not{D}[Q_-]-M)\Psi_-]] \\
&< Q_+,\psi_{u+},\bar{\psi}_{u+},\psi_{d+},\bar{\psi}_{d+},\psi_{s+},\bar{\psi}_{s+},\Psi_+,\bar{\Psi}_+,0|\ \rho\ |0,\Psi_-,\bar{\Psi}_-,\bar{\psi}_{s-},\psi_{s-},\bar{\psi}_{d-},\psi_{d-},\bar{\psi}_{u-},\psi_{u-},Q_->
\end{aligned}
\tag{1}
$$

where $+(-)$ index corresponds to upper (lower) time branch in the closed-time path formalism, ρ is the initial density of state in non-equilibrium, $Q^{\mu c}(x)$ is the gluon field with $c = 1, 2, ..., 8$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
&F^2[Q] = F^a_{\mu\nu}[Q]F^{\mu\nu a}[Q], \qquad\qquad F^{\mu\nu a}[Q] = \partial^\mu Q^{\nu a}(x) - \partial^\nu Q^{\mu a}(x) + g f^{abc} Q^{\mu b}(x) Q^{\nu c}(x), \\
&\not{D}[Q] = i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu + gT^a\gamma^\mu Q^a_\mu.
\end{aligned}
\tag{2}
$$

The state $|\Psi_\pm, \bar{\Psi}_\pm, \bar{\psi}_{s\pm}, \psi_{s\pm}, \bar{\psi}_{d\pm}, \psi_{d\pm}, \bar{\psi}_{u\pm}, \psi_{u\pm}, Q_\pm, 0>$ corresponds to the field configurations at the initial time $t = t_{in} = 0$ where we work in the frozen ghost formalism [27, 28] for the medium part at the initial time $t = t_{in} = 0$. Note that the repeated closed-time path indices $r, s = +, -$ are not summed.

For color singlet heavy quark-antiquark pair the operators O_1 and O_2' are proportional to the unit matrix I in color space and for color octet heavy quark-antiquark pair the operators O_1 and O_2' are proportional to the color matrix T^a in color space where T^a is the generator of the SU(3) group. The normalization factor $\frac{1}{Z[0]}$ is suppressed in eq. (1) as it will cancel in the final result.

III. INFRARED DIVERGENCES IN HEAVY QUARKONIUM PRODUCTION

A detailed discussion of infrared divergences in heavy quarkonium production at high energy colliders is given in [7, 16, 17]. As mentioned earlier the ultraviolet (UV) behavior of NRQCD and QCD differ but infrared (IR) behavior of QCD and NRQCD remains same [24]. Hence the infrared divergences analysis in QCD is same as that in NRQCD.

For simplicity, let us consider the infrared divergences in QED first before considering the infrared divergences in QCD. For a real photon of four momentum k^μ emitted from an incoming electron of four momentum p^μ we get by using the Feynman rules in QED the following contribution to the amplitude [29]

$$\frac{1}{\not{p} - \not{k} - m} \not{\epsilon}(k) u(p) = -\frac{p \cdot \epsilon_{\rm pure}(k)}{k \cdot p} u(p) + \frac{\not{k} \not{\epsilon}_{\rm phys}(k)}{2k \cdot p} u(p) \tag{3}$$

where m is the mass of the electron and

$$\not{\epsilon}(k) = \not{\epsilon}_{\rm phys}(k) + \not{\epsilon}_{\rm pure}(k), \qquad \not{\epsilon}_{\rm phys}(k) = \not{\epsilon}(k) - \not{k} \frac{p \cdot \epsilon(k)}{k \cdot p}, \qquad \not{\epsilon}_{\rm pure}(k) = \not{k} \frac{p \cdot \epsilon(k)}{k \cdot p} \tag{4}$$

where $\epsilon^\mu_{\rm phys}(k)$ is the physical gauge field corresponding to the transverse polarization and $\epsilon^\mu_{\rm pure}(k)$ is the pure gauge field corresponding to longitudinal polarization.

Infrared divergence occurs in QED due to the soft photon exchange in the limit $k^\mu \to 0$. From eqs. (3) and (4) we find

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{p \cdot \epsilon_{\rm pure}(k)}{k \cdot p} \to \infty \qquad \text{as} \qquad k^\mu \to 0, \\
&\frac{p \cdot \epsilon_{\rm phys}(k)}{k \cdot p} = 0, \\
&\frac{\not{k} \not{\epsilon}_{\rm pure}(k)}{2k \cdot p} = 0, \\
&\frac{\not{k} \not{\epsilon}_{\rm phys}(k)}{2k \cdot p} \to \text{finite} \qquad \text{as} \qquad k^\mu \to 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Hence from eq. (5), (4) and (3) we find that the non-eikonal part $\frac{\not{k}\not{\epsilon}(k)}{2k \cdot p}$ of the diagram is necessary to calculate the finite cross section but is not necessary to calculate the relevant infrared divergences which can be calculated by using the eikonal part $\frac{p \cdot \epsilon(k)}{k \cdot p}$ of the diagram. In addition to this we find from eq. (5) that the physical gauge field which corresponds to transverse polarization does not contribute to infrared divergences in quantum field theory and the pure gauge field corresponding to the longitudinal polarization does not contribute to the finite cross section in quantum field theory.

Since the eikonal current of the light-like charge generates pure gauge field in quantum field theory [18] we find from eq. (5) that the infrared divergences in quantum field theory due to the presence of light-like Wilson line can be studied by using pure gauge without modifying the finite value of the cross section. Since the pure gauge field corresponds to unphysical longitudinal polarization, it can be gauged away in the sense of factorization.

Hence we find that the analysis of infrared divergences in quantum field theory due to the presence of light-like Wilson line can be simplified by using pure gauge.

Note that the eikonal current of the light-like charge generates pure gauge field in classical mechanics [14, 30, 31] and in quantum field theory [18] at all time-space position x^μ except at the position transverse to the motion of the charge ($\vec{l}\cdot\vec{x}=0$) at the time of closest approach ($x_0=0$). We are interested in the infrared behavior of the non-perturbative matrix element of the type $< in|\bar{\Psi}_r(x_1)O_1\Psi_r(x_1)\bar{\Psi}_s(x_2)O_2'\Psi_s(x_2)|in >$ in the presence of nearby light-like quark (or gluon). Since $< in|\bar{\Psi}_r(x_1)O_1\Psi_r(x_1)\bar{\Psi}_s(x_2)O_2'\Psi_s(x_2)|in >$ is a non-perturbative matrix element its property at all order in coupling constant can be studied by using path integral formulation of QCD. Hence we find that the infrared divergences in quantum field theory at all order in coupling constant due to the presence of light-like Wilson line can be studied by using the path integral formulation of quantum field theory in the presence of pure gauge background field [17, 18, 21, 26].

In QCD the SU(3) pure gauge is given by

$$T^a A^{\mu a}(x)=\frac{1}{ig}[\partial^\mu\Phi(x)]\Phi^{-1}(x) \tag{6}$$

which gives the non-abelian gauge link [17, 18, 21]

$$\Phi(x)=\mathcal{P}e^{-ig\int_0^\infty dtl\cdot A^c(lt)T^c}=e^{igT^c\omega^c(x)} \tag{7}$$

for infrared divergences due to infinite number of soft gluons exchange with the light-like quark where $\mathcal{P}$ is the path ordering and l^μ is the four-velocity of the light-like quark.

IV. PROOF OF FACTORIZATION OF HEAVY QUARKONIUM PRODUCTION IN NON-EQUILIBRIUM QCD AT RHIC AND LHC IN (NRQCD) COLOR OCTET MECHANISM

The nonequilibrium-nonperturbative heavy quark-antiquark correlation function of the type $< in|\bar{\Psi}_r(x_1)O_1\Psi_r(x_1)\bar{\Psi}_s(x_2)O_2'\Psi_s(x_2)|in >$ in the background field method of QCD is given by [26–28, 32–35]

$$\begin{aligned}&< in|\bar{\Psi}_r(x_1)O_1\Psi_r(x_1)\bar{\Psi}_s(x_2)O_2'\Psi_s(x_2)|in >_A\\&=\int\Pi_{n=1}^3[d\bar{\psi}_{n+}][d\bar{\psi}_{n-}][d\psi_{n+}][d\psi_{n-}][d\bar{\Psi}_+][d\bar{\Psi}_-][d\Psi_+][d\Psi_-][dQ_+][dQ_-]\end{aligned}$$

$$\bar{\Psi}_r(x_1)O_1\Psi_r(x_1)\bar{\Psi}_s(x_2)O_2'\Psi_s(x_2)\ \det(\frac{\delta G^c(Q_+)}{\delta\omega_+^d})\ \det(\frac{\delta G^c(Q_-)}{\delta\omega_-^d})$$
$$\exp[i\int d^4x[-\frac{1}{4}F^2[Q_+ + A_+] + \frac{1}{4}F^2[Q_- + A_-] - \frac{1}{2\alpha}(G^c(Q_+))^2 + \frac{1}{2\alpha}(G^c(Q_-))^2$$
$$+\sum_{l=1}^{3}[\bar{\psi}_{l+}(\not{D}[Q_+ + A_+] - m_l)\psi_{l+} - \bar{\psi}_{l-}(\not{D}[Q_- + A_-] - m_l)\psi_{l-}]$$
$$+\bar{\Psi}_+(\not{D}[Q_+ + A_+] - M)\Psi_+ - \bar{\Psi}_-(\not{D}[Q_- + A_-] - M)\Psi_-]]$$
$$< Q_+ + A_+, \psi_{u+}, \bar{\psi}_{u+}, \psi_{d+}, \bar{\psi}_{d+}, \psi_{s+}, \bar{\psi}_{s+}, \Psi_+, \bar{\Psi}_+, 0|\ \rho\ |0, \Psi_-, \bar{\Psi}_-, \bar{\psi}_{s-}, \psi_{s-}, \bar{\psi}_{d-}, \psi_{d-},$$
$$\bar{\psi}_{u-}, \psi_{u-}, Q_- + A_- > \tag{8}$$

where

$$F^a_{\mu\nu}[A_\pm + Q_\pm] = \partial_\mu[A^a_{\nu\pm} + Q^a_{\nu\pm}] - \partial_\nu[A^a_{\mu\pm} + Q^a_{\mu\pm}] + gf^{abc}[A^b_{\mu\pm} + Q^b_{\mu\pm}][A^c_{\nu\pm} + Q^c_{\nu\pm}],$$
$$G^c(Q_\pm) = \partial_\mu Q^{\mu c}_\pm + gf^{cba}A^b_{\mu\pm}Q^{\mu a}_\pm = D_\mu[A_\pm]Q^{\mu c}_\pm \tag{9}$$

and the type I gauge transformation is given by [33–35]

$$T^cA'^c_{\mu\pm}(x) = U_\pm(x)T^cA^c_{\mu\pm}(x)U^{-1}_\pm(x) + \frac{1}{ig}[\partial_\mu U_\pm(x)]\ U^{-1}_\pm(x),$$
$$T^cQ'^c_{\mu\pm}(x) = U_\pm(x)T^cQ^c_{\mu\pm}(x)U^{-1}_\pm(x), \qquad U_\pm(x) = e^{igT^c\omega^c_\pm(x)}. \tag{10}$$

When the operators $O_1,\ O_2'$ are independent of quantum fields then by changing the integration variable $Q \to Q - A$ in the right hand side of eq. (8) we find

$$< in|\bar{\Psi}_r(x_1)O_1\Psi_r(x_1)\bar{\Psi}_s(x_2)O_2'\Psi_s(x_2)|in >_A$$
$$= \int \Pi_{n=1}^3[d\bar{\psi}_{n+}][d\bar{\psi}_{n-}][d\psi_{n+}][d\psi_{n-}][d\bar{\Psi}_+][d\bar{\Psi}_-][d\Psi_+][d\Psi_-][dQ_+][dQ_-]$$
$$\bar{\Psi}_r(x_1)O_1\Psi_r(x_1)\bar{\Psi}_s(x_2)O_2'\Psi_s(x_2)\ \det(\frac{\delta G^c_f(Q_+)}{\delta\omega_+^d})\ \det(\frac{\delta G^c_f(Q_-)}{\delta\omega_-^d})$$
$$\exp[i\int d^4x[-\frac{1}{4}F^2[Q_+] + \frac{1}{4}F^2[Q_-] - \frac{1}{2\alpha}(G^c_f(Q_+))^2 + \frac{1}{2\alpha}(G^c_f(Q_-))^2$$
$$+\sum_{l=1}^{3}[\bar{\psi}_{l+}(\not{D}[Q_+] - m_l)\psi_{l+} - \bar{\psi}_{l-}(\not{D}[Q_-] - m_l)\psi_{l-}] + \bar{\Psi}_+(\not{D}[Q_+] - M)\Psi_+ - \bar{\Psi}_-(\not{D}[Q_-] - M)\Psi_-]]$$
$$< Q_+, \psi_{u+}, \bar{\psi}_{u+}, \psi_{d+}, \bar{\psi}_{d+}, \psi_{s+}, \bar{\psi}_{s+}, \Psi_+, \bar{\Psi}_+, 0|\ \rho\ |0, \Psi_-, \bar{\Psi}_-, \bar{\psi}_{s-}, \psi_{s-}, \bar{\psi}_{d-}, \psi_{d-}, \bar{\psi}_{u-}, \psi_{u-}, Q_- > \tag{11}$$

where

$$G^c_f(Q_\pm) = \partial_\mu Q^{\mu c}_\pm + gf^{cba}A^b_{\mu\pm}Q^{\mu a}_\pm - \partial_\mu A^{\mu c}_\pm = D_\mu[A_\pm]Q^{\mu c}_\pm - \partial_\mu Q^{\mu c}_\pm \tag{12}$$

and eq. (10) gives

$$T^c Q'^c_{\mu\pm}(x) = U_\pm(x) T^c Q^c_{\mu\pm}(x) U^{-1}_\pm(x) + \frac{1}{ig}[\partial_\mu U_\pm(x)]\ U^{-1}_\pm(x), \qquad U_\pm(x) = e^{igT^c\omega^c_\pm(x)}. \tag{13}$$

Eq. (11) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
&< in|\bar{\Psi}_r(x_1) O_1 \Psi_r(x_1) \bar{\Psi}_s(x_2) O'_2 \Psi_s(x_2)|in >_A \\
&= \int \Pi^3_{n=1}[d\bar{\psi}'_{n+}][d\bar{\psi}'_{n-}][d\psi'_{n+}][d\psi'_{n-}][d\bar{\Psi}'_+][d\bar{\Psi}'_-][d\Psi'_+][d\Psi'_-][dQ'_+][dQ'_-] \\
&\bar{\Psi}'_r(x_1) O_1 \Psi'_r(x_1) \bar{\Psi}'_s(x_2) O'_2 \Psi'_s(x_2)\ \det(\frac{\delta G^c_f(Q'_+)}{\delta\omega^d_+})\ \det(\frac{\delta G^c_f(Q'_-)}{\delta\omega^d_-}) \\
&\exp[i\int d^4x[-\frac{1}{4}F^2[Q'_+] + \frac{1}{4}F^2[Q'_-] - \frac{1}{2\alpha}(G^c_f(Q'_+))^2 + \frac{1}{2\alpha}(G^c_f(Q'_-))^2 \\
&+ \sum_{l=1}^{3}[\bar{\psi}'_{l+}(\not{D}[Q'_+] - m_l)\psi'_{l+} - \bar{\psi}'_{l-}(\not{D}[Q'_-] - m_l)\psi'_{l-}] + \bar{\Psi}'_+(\not{D}[Q'_+] - M)\Psi'_+ - \bar{\Psi}'_-(\not{D}[Q'_-] - M)\Psi'_-]] \\
&< Q'_+, \psi'_{u+}, \bar{\psi}'_{u+}, \psi'_{d+}, \bar{\psi}'_{d+}, \psi'_{s+}, \bar{\psi}'_{s+}, \Psi'_+, \bar{\Psi}'_+, 0|\ \rho\ |0, \Psi'_-, \bar{\Psi}'_-, \bar{\psi}'_{s-}, \psi'_{s-}, \bar{\psi}'_{d-}, \psi'_{d-}, \bar{\psi}'_{u-}, \psi'_{u-}, Q'_- >
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

because a change of integration variables from unprimed variables to primed variables does not change the value of the integration. Under the gauge transformation the quark fields transform as

$$\psi'_{l\pm}(x) = e^{igT^c\omega^c_\pm(x)}\psi_{l\pm}(x), \qquad \Psi'_\pm(x) = e^{igT^c\omega^c_\pm(x)}\Psi_\pm(x). \tag{15}$$

Since we are working in the frozen ghost formalism for the medium part at the initial time [27, 28] the $< Q_+, \psi_{u+}, \bar{\psi}_{u+}, \psi_{d+}, \bar{\psi}_{d+}, \psi_{s+}, \bar{\psi}_{s+}, \Psi_+, \bar{\Psi}_+, 0|\ \rho\ |0, \Psi_-, \bar{\Psi}_-, \bar{\psi}_{s-}, \psi_{s-}, \bar{\psi}_{d-}, \psi_{d-}, \bar{\psi}_{u-}, \psi_{u-}, Q_- >$ in eq. (1) corresponding to initial density of state in non-equilibrium QCD is gauge invariant by definition. Hence from eqs. (13) and (15) we find

$$\begin{aligned}
&< Q'_+, \psi'_{u+}, \bar{\psi}'_{u+}, \psi'_{d+}, \bar{\psi}'_{d+}, \psi'_{s+}, \bar{\psi}'_{s+}, \Psi'_+, \bar{\Psi}'_+, 0|\ \rho\ |0, \Psi'_-, \bar{\Psi}'_-, \bar{\psi}'_{s-}, \psi'_{s-}, \bar{\psi}'_{d-}, \psi'_{d-}, \bar{\psi}'_{u-}, \psi'_{u-}, Q'_- > \\
&=< Q_+, \psi_{u+}, \bar{\psi}_{u+}, \psi_{d+}, \bar{\psi}_{d+}, \psi_{s+}, \bar{\psi}_{s+}, \Psi_+, \bar{\Psi}_+, 0|\ \rho\ |0, \Psi_-, \bar{\Psi}_-, \bar{\psi}_{s-}, \psi_{s-}, \bar{\psi}_{d-}, \psi_{d-}, \bar{\psi}_{u-}, \psi_{u-}, Q_- > .
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

When background field $A^{\mu a}(x)$ is the SU(3) pure gauge as given by eq. (6) then we find from eqs. (13), (15), (12) and (6) that [17, 18, 21]

$$[dQ'_\pm] = [dQ_\pm], \qquad [d\bar{\psi}'_{l\pm}][d\psi'_{l\pm}] = [d\bar{\psi}_{l\pm}][d\psi_{l\pm}], \qquad [d\bar{\Psi}'_\pm][d\Psi'_\pm] = [d\bar{\Psi}_\pm][d\Psi_\pm],$$

$$\bar{\psi}'_{l\pm}[i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu - m_l + gT^c\gamma^\mu Q'^c_{\mu\pm}]\psi'_{l\pm} = \bar{\psi}_{l\pm}[i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu - m_l + gT^c\gamma^\mu Q^c_{\mu\pm}]\psi_{l\pm},$$
$$\bar{\Psi}'_{\pm}[i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu - M + gT^c\gamma^\mu Q'^c_{\mu\pm}]\Psi'_{\pm} = \bar{\Psi}_{\pm}[i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu - M + gT^c\gamma^\mu Q^c_{\mu\pm}]\Psi_{\pm}, \qquad F^2[Q'_\pm] = F^2[Q_\pm]$$
$$(G^c_f(Q'_\pm))^2 = (\partial_\mu Q^{\mu c}_\pm(x))^2, \qquad \det[\frac{\delta G^c_f(Q'_\pm)}{\delta\omega^d_\pm}] = \det[\frac{\delta(\partial_\mu Q^{\mu c}_\pm(x))}{\delta\omega^d_\pm}]. \tag{17}$$

Using eqs. (17), (16) and (15) in eq. (14) we find

$$< in|\bar{\Psi}_r(x_1)O_1\Psi_r(x_1)\bar{\Psi}_s(x_2)O'_2\Psi_s(x_2)|in >_A$$
$$= \int \Pi^3_{n=1}[d\bar{\psi}_{n+}][d\bar{\psi}_{n-}][d\psi_{n+}][d\psi_{n-}][d\bar{\Psi}_+][d\bar{\Psi}_-][d\Psi_+][d\Psi_-][dQ_+][dQ_-]$$
$$\bar{\Psi}_r(x_1)e^{-igT^c\omega^c_r(x_1)}O_1e^{igT^c\omega^c_r(x_1)}\Psi_r(x_1)\bar{\Psi}_s(x_2)e^{-igT^c\omega^c_s(x_2)}O'_2e^{igT^c\omega^c_s(x_2)}\Psi_s(x_2)$$
$$\det(\frac{\delta\partial_\mu Q^{\mu c}_+}{\delta\omega^d_+})\ \det(\frac{\delta\partial_\mu Q^{\mu c}_-}{\delta\omega^d_-})\ \exp[i\int d^4x[-\frac{1}{4}F^2[Q_+] + \frac{1}{4}F^2[Q_-] - \frac{1}{2\alpha}(\partial_\mu Q^{\mu c}_+)^2 + \frac{1}{2\alpha}(\partial_\mu Q^{\mu c}_-)^2$$
$$+\sum_{l=1}^{3}[\bar{\psi}_{l+}(\not{D}[Q_+] - m_l)\psi_{l+} - \bar{\psi}_{l-}(\not{D}[Q_-] - m_l)\psi_{l-}] + \bar{\Psi}_+(\not{D}[Q_+] - M)\Psi_+ - \bar{\Psi}_-(\not{D}[Q_-] - M)\Psi_-]]$$
$$< Q_+, \psi_{u+}, \bar{\psi}_{u+}, \psi_{d+}, \bar{\psi}_{d+}, \psi_{s+}, \bar{\psi}_{s+}, \Psi_+, \bar{\Psi}_+, 0|\ \rho\ |0, \Psi_-, \bar{\Psi}_-, \bar{\psi}_{s-}, \psi_{s-}, \bar{\psi}_{d-}, \psi_{d-}, \bar{\psi}_{u-}, \psi_{u-}, Q_- > . \tag{18}$$

Using similar techniques as above we find

$$< in|\bar{\Psi}_r(x_1)e^{igT^c\omega^c_r(x_1)}O_1e^{-igT^c\omega^c_r(x_1)}\Psi_r(x_1)\bar{\Psi}_s(x_2)e^{igT^c\omega^c_s(x_2)}O'_2e^{-igT^c\omega^c_s(x_2)}\Psi_s(x_2)|in >_A$$
$$= \int \Pi^3_{n=1}[d\bar{\psi}_{n+}][d\bar{\psi}_{n-}][d\psi_{n+}][d\psi_{n-}][d\bar{\Psi}_+][d\bar{\Psi}_-][d\Psi_+][d\Psi_-][dQ_+][dQ_-]$$
$$\bar{\Psi}_r(x_1)O_1\Psi_r(x_1)\bar{\Psi}_s(x_2)O'_2\Psi_s(x_2)\ \det(\frac{\delta\partial_\mu Q^{\mu c}_+}{\delta\omega^d_+})\ \ \det(\frac{\delta\partial_\mu Q^{\mu c}_-}{\delta\omega^d_-})$$
$$\exp[i\int d^4x[-\frac{1}{4}F^2[Q_+] + \frac{1}{4}F^2[Q_-] - \frac{1}{2\alpha}(\partial_\mu Q^{\mu c}_+)^2 + \frac{1}{2\alpha}(\partial_\mu Q^{\mu c}_-)^2$$
$$+\sum_{l=1}^{3}[\bar{\psi}_{l+}(\not{D}[Q_+] - m_l)\psi_{l+} - \bar{\psi}_{l-}(\not{D}[Q_-] - m_l)\psi_{l-}] + \bar{\Psi}_+(\not{D}[Q_+] - M)\Psi_+ - \bar{\Psi}_-(\not{D}[Q_-] - M)\Psi_-]]$$
$$< Q_+, \psi_{u+}, \bar{\psi}_{u+}, \psi_{d+}, \bar{\psi}_{d+}, \psi_{s+}, \bar{\psi}_{s+}, \Psi_+, \bar{\Psi}_+, 0|\ \rho\ |0, \Psi_-, \bar{\Psi}_-, \bar{\psi}_{s-}, \psi_{s-}, \bar{\psi}_{d-}, \psi_{d-}, \bar{\psi}_{u-}, \psi_{u-}, Q_- > . \tag{19}$$

From eqs. (1) and (19) we find

$$< in|\bar{\Psi}_r(x_1)O_1\Psi_r(x_1)\bar{\Psi}_s(x_2)O'_2\Psi_s(x_2)|in >$$
$$=< in|\bar{\Psi}_r(x_1)\Phi_r(x_1)O_1\Phi^\dagger_r(x_1)\Psi_r(x_1)\bar{\Psi}_s(x_2)\Phi_s(x_2)O'_2\Phi^\dagger_s(x_2)\Psi_s(x_2|in >_A \tag{20}$$

which proves factorization of infrared divergences at all order in coupling constant in non-equilibrium QCD where (see eq. (7) and [21])

$$\Phi_r(x) = \mathcal{P}e^{-ig\int_0^\infty dtl\cdot A^c_r(x+lt)T^c} \tag{21}$$

is the non-abelian gauge link for infrared divergences in non-equilibrium QCD. Eq. (20) is valid in covariant gauge, in light-cone gauge, in general axial gauges, in general non-covariant gauges and in general Coulomb gauge etc. respectively [21].

Note that the nonequilibrium-nonperturbative matrix elements $< in|\bar{\Psi}_r(x_1)O_1\Psi_r(x_1)\bar{\Psi}_s(x_2)O_2'\Psi_s(x_2)|in >$ and $< in|\bar{\Psi}_r(x_1)\Phi_r(x_1)O_1\Phi_r^\dagger(x_1)\Psi_r(x_1)\bar{\Psi}_s(x_2)\Phi_s(x_2)O_2'\Phi_s^\dagger(x_2)\Psi_s(x_2|in >_A$ in eq. (20) are obtained from the exact generating functionals. Hence the eq. (20) is valid at all order in coupling constant in non-equilibrium QCD.

Similarly the nonequilibrium-nonperturbative matrix elements $< in|\bar{\Psi}_r(x_1)O_1\Psi_r(x_1)\bar{\Psi}_s(x_2)O_2'\Psi_s(x_2)|in >$ and $< in|\bar{\Psi}_r(x_1)\Phi_r(x_1)O_1\Phi_r^\dagger(x_1)\Psi_r(x_1)\bar{\Psi}_s(x_2)\Phi_s(x_2)O_2'\Phi_s^\dagger(x_2)\Psi_s(x_2|in >_A$ in eq. (20) are obtained from the exact generating functionals without putting any restrictions on heavy quark and antiquark momenta. Hence eq. (20) is valid to all powers in (NRQCD) heavy quark relative velocity.

Hence we find that $< in|\bar{\Psi}_r(x_1)\Phi_r(x_1)O_1\Phi_r^\dagger(x_1)\Psi_r(x_1)\bar{\Psi}_s(x_2)\Phi_s(x_2)O_2'\Phi_s^\dagger(x_2)\Psi_s(x_2)|in >_A$ in eq. (20) is gauge invariant and is consistent with factorization of infrared divergences in non-equilibrium QCD at all order in coupling constant and to all powers in (NRQCD) heavy quark relative velocity.

From eq. (20) we find that the uncanceled infrared divergences due to the interaction of the color octet heavy quark-antiquark pair with the nearby light-like quark (or gluon) in non-equilibrium QCD cancel with the corresponding infrared divergences in the gauge links in the S-wave color octet non-pertirbative matrix element in non-equilibrium QCD at all order in coupling constant and to all powers of heavy quark relative velocity. This proves factorization of infrared divergences of heavy quarkonium production from color octet heavy quark-antiquark pair in non-equilibrium QCD at RHIC and LHC at all order in coupling constant and to all powers of heavy quark relative velocity.

As explained in [18] the non-perturbative matrix element in non-equilibrium QCD can be obtained by using $|Q >= a^\dagger|in >$ instead of $|Q >= a^\dagger|0 >$ in vacuum where $a^\dagger$ is the creation operator of the heavy quark. When the operators $O_1,\ O_2'$ are proportional to the color matrix T^a then we find from eq. (20) that the $S-$wave color octet non-perturbative matrix element for heavy quarkonium production in (NRQCD) color octet mechanism in

non-equilibrium QCD is given by

$$< in|\mathcal{O}_H|in >=< in|\chi^\dagger(0)K_{n,e}\xi(0)\Phi_l^{(A)\dagger}(0)_{eb}(a_H^\dagger a_H)\Phi_l^{(A)}(0)_{ba}\xi^\dagger(0)K'_{n,a}\chi(0)|in > \quad (22)$$

which is consistent with factorization of infrared divergences in non-equilibrium QCD at all order in coupling constant and to all powers in (NRQCD) heavy quark relative velocity where the gauge link $\Phi_l^{(A)}$ in the adjoint representation of SU(3) is given by

$$\Phi_l^{(A)}(x) = \mathcal{P}e^{-ig\int_0^\infty dtl\cdot A^c(x+lt)T^{(A)c}} \quad (23)$$

where $T_{ab}^{(A)c} = -if^{cab}$. In eq. (22) ξ is the two component Dirac spinor field that annihilates a heavy quark, χ is the two component Dirac spinor field that creates a heavy quark, $a_H^\dagger$ is the creation operator of the hadron and the operators $K_{n,e}, K'_{n,a}$ are proportional to T^e, T^a respectively.

Eq. (22) is similar to eq. (4) of [17] except that the vacuum expectation is replaced by medium average.

Note that the nonequilibrium-nonperturbative matrix element $< in|\bar{\Psi}_r(x_1)O_1\Psi_r(x_1)\bar{\Psi}_s(x_2)O'_2\Psi_s(x_2)|in >$ in the left hand side of eq. (20) is independent of l^μ. This proves that the long-distance behavior of the nonequilibrium-nonperturbative NRQCD matrix element $< in|\chi^\dagger(0)K_{n,e}\xi(0)\Phi_l^{(A)\dagger}(0)_{eb}(a_H^\dagger a_H)\Phi_l^{(A)}(0)_{ba}\xi^\dagger(0)K'_{n,a}\chi(0)|in >$ in eq. (22) is independent of the light-like vector l^μ which defines the gauge link at all order in coupling constant and to all powers in (NRQCD) heavy quark relative velocity.

This concludes the proof of factorization of heavy quarkonium production in (NRQCD) color octet mechanism in non-equilibrium QCD at RHIC and LHC at all order in coupling constant and to all powers of (NRQCD) heavy quark relative velocity.

V. CONCLUSIONS

Recently we have proved factorization of j/ψ production in non-equilibrium QCD at RHIC and LHC at all order in coupling constant from color singlet $c\bar{c}$ pair. In this paper we have considered (NRQCD) color octet mechanism of heavy quarkonium production in non-equilibrium QCD at RHIC and LHC and have proved factorization at all order in coupling constant. This proof is necessary to study heavy quarkonium production from quark gluon

plasma at RHIC and LHC [1, 2, 36, 37] in (NRQCD) color octet mechanism [7].

---

[1] M. Gyulassy and L. McLerran, Nucl. Phys. A750, 30 (2005); G. C. Nayak, A. Dumitru, L. McLerran and W. Greiner, Nucl. Phys. A687 (2001) 457; F. Cooper, E. Mottola and G. C. Nayak, Phys. Lett. B555 (2003) 181; R. S. Bhalerao and G. C. Nayak, Phys. Rev. C61 (2000) 054907; G. C. Nayak and V. Ravishankar, Phys. Rev. C58 (1998) 356; Phys. Rev. D55 (1997) 6877.

[2] G. C Nayak, Eur. Phys. J. C59 (2009) 891; F. Cooper and G. C. Nayak, Phys. Rev. D73 (2006) 065005; G. C. Nayak and R. Shrock, Phys.Rev. D77 (2008) 045008; G. C. Nayak, Eur. Phys. J.C59 (2009) 715; Int.J.Mod.Phys. A25 (2010) 1155; Eur. Phys. J.C64 (2009) 73; Electron.J.Theor.Phys. 8 (2011) 279; Phys.Lett. B442 (1998) 427; JHEP 9802 (1998) 005.

[3] T. Matsui and H. Satz, Phys. Lett. B178 (1986) 416.

[4] G. A. Schuler, CERN-TH-7170-94, *and references therein*.

[5] E. L. Berger and D. Jones, Phys. Rev. D23 (1981) 1521; R. Baier and R. Ruckl, Z. Phys. C19 (1983) 251; S. D. Ellis, M. Einhorn and C. Quigg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 36 (1976) 1263.

[6] G. Bodwin, D. Kang and J. Lee, Phys.Rev. D74 (2006) 014014.

[7] G. T. Bodwin, E. Braaten and G. P. Lepage, Phys. Rev. D51 (1995) 1125, Erratum ibid. D55 (1997) 5853.

[8] F. Abe *et al.* [CDF Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. 79 (1997) 572; B. Abbott *et al.* [D0 Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. 82 (1999) 35.

[9] ATLAS Collaboration, JHEP 09 (2014) 079; CMS Collaboration, Phys. Lett. B727 (2013) 101; LHCb Collaboration, Eur. Phys. C74 (2014) 2835; ALICE Collaboration, Eur. Phys. C74 (2014) 2974.

[10] P. L. Cho and A. K. Leibovich, Phys.Rev. D53 (1996) 150; Phys.Rev. D53 (1996) 6203.

[11] G. C. Nayak, M. X. Liu and F. Cooper, Phys. Rev. D68 (2003) 034003; F. Cooper, M. X. Liu and G. C. Nayak, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93 (2004) 171801.

[12] M. Butenschoen and B. A. Kniehl, Phys.Rev.Lett. 106 (2011) 022003.

[13] ALICE Collaboration, Phys.Lett. B718 (2012) 295.

[14] J. C. Collins, D. E. Soper and G. Sterman, Nucl. Phys. B261 (1985) 104.

[15] J. C. Collins, D. E. Soper and G. Sterman, Nucl. Phys. B223 (1983) 381.

[16] G. C. Nayak, J. Qiu and G. Sterman, Phys. Lett. B613 (2005) 45; Phys.Rev. D72 (2005) 114012; Phys.Rev. D74 (2006) 074007.

[17] G. C. Nayak, arXiv:1506.02593v1 [hep-ph].

[18] G. C. Nayak, arXiv:1509.05303 [hep-ph].

[19] G. C. Nayak, Annals Phys. 324 (2009) 2579.

[20] G. C. Nayak, J. Qiu and G. Sterman, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99 (2007) 212001; Phys. Rev. D77 (2008) 034022.

[21] G. C. Nayak, arXiv:1506.02651 [hep-ph]; arXiv:1506.07146 [hep-ph]; arXiv:1508.01194 [hep-ph]; arXiv:1508.05078 [hep-ph]; arXiv:1509.01148 [hep-ph].

[22] G. C. Nayak, Annals Phys. 325 (2010) 514.

[23] G. C. Nayak, Annals Phys. 325 (2010) 682.

[24] see for example, I. Stewart, *The 19'th Taiwan spring school on particles and fields, april 2006.*

[25] *See for example*, T. Muta, *Foundations of Quantum Chromodynamics*, World Scientific lecture notes in physics-Vol. 5.

[26] R. Tucci, Phys. Rev. D32 (1985) 945.

[27] C-W. Kao, G. C. Nayak and W. Greiner, Phys. Rev. D 66 (2002) 034017.

[28] F. Cooper, C-W. Kao and G. C. Nayak, Phys. Rev. D 66 (2002) 114016.

[29] G. Grammer and D. R. Yennie, Phys. Rev. D8 (1973) 4332.

[30] G. C. Nayak, JHEP1303(2013)001.

[31] G. C. Nayak, Eur. Phys. J. C73(2013)2442.

[32] G. C. Nayak and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, Phys. Rev. D71 (2005) 125001; G. C. Nayak, Phys. Rev. D72 (2005) 125010.

[33] L. F. Abbott, Nucl. Phys. B185 (1981) 189.

[34] G. 't Hooft, Nucl. Phys. B62 (1973) 444.

[35] H. Klueberg-Stern and J. B. Zuber, Phys. Rev. D12 (1975) 482.

[36] G. C. Nayak, Phys. Part. Nucl. 43 (2012) 742; D. Dietrich, G. C. Nayak and W. Greiner, Phys. Rev. D64 (2001) 074006; J. Phys. G28 (2002) 2001; J. Ruppert *et al*, Phys. Lett. B520 (2001) 233; Q. Wang *et al*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. E10 (2001) 483.

[37] M. C. Birse, C-W. Kao and G. C. Nayak, Phys. Lett. B570 (2003) 171; A. Chamblin, F. Cooper and G. C. Nayak, Phys. Rev. D69 (2004) 065010.